

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/692,350	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Cam Y T. Truong	2162	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cam Y T. Truong. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Kenneth R Eiferman (Attorney). (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 15 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 20050055355.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed claim amendments for discussion during the interview. Applicant's representative argued that the reference 2005/0055355 does not teach "the path is computed as a function of a document type". Examiner pointed out that the reference teaches PID 1 of node name as a function of the document type (fig. 4). Applicant's representative will further review the reference for amending claims to get over the reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required



**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**  
ATLANTA • PHILADELPHIA • SEATTLE

# FACSIMILE

DATE: **January 10, 2008**  
**OFFICIAL PAPER**

JOB CODE:

*Please deliver this and the following pages to:*

Examiner: **Cam Troung**  
U.S.P.T.O. Group Art Unit: **2162**  
Telecopier No.: **571-273-4042**  
U.S. Serial No.: **11/224,021 and 10/692,350**  
Client/Matter No.: **MSFT-5450 and MSFT-2849**  
Sender's Name: **Kenneth R Eiferman**  
Pages to Follow: **2**

If transmission is not complete, please call our Philadelphia Office at (215) 568-3100.

COVER MESSAGE:

**OFFICIAL FACSIMILE. PLEASE DELIVER TO EXAMINER IMMEDIATELY.**

Attached hereto is/are the following documents:

- 1)
- 2)

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY OF THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP  
A Partnership Including Professional Corporations  
[www.woodcock.com](http://www.woodcock.com)

**10/692,350 Agenda for Telephone Interview on Jan. 15 at 3:00**

Applicant proposes the following claim amendments for discussion during the interview:

1. (Currently Amended) A computer system for processing a query, the computer system comprising:

a processor;

a memory;

a data store comprising a table of documents, each document having an associated document type in a hierarchy of document types, each document type being associated with having a type path that is a path from a root document type to the document type in the hierarchy of document types and that is computed as a function of the document type, the table comprising a plurality of entries representing the each documents and their respective its associated type paths; and

a document retrieval system that accesses the table in the data store to determine, for each document, if its associated type path will satisfy the query, and generates query results comprising each type path that satisfies the query.

75